

The collaborative development of a pre-operative checklist: An e-Delphi study

Authors

Katherine Murphy
BN (Hons)
St Vincent's Private Hospital,
Darlinghurst, NSW

Kim Walker
BN, PhD
University of Tasmania, Sydney, NSW

Jed Duff
BN, PhD
St Vincent's Private Hospital,
Darlinghurst, NSW

Robyn Williams
BN, Grad Cert (Cardiac), BN (Edn)
St Vincent's Private Hospital,
Darlinghurst, NSW

Corresponding author

Katherine Murphy
St Vincent's Private Hospital,
Darlinghurst, NSW
katherine.murphy@svha.org.au

Abstract

The aim of this study was to identify which items should be included in a pre-operative checklist based on recommendations by nurse experts in order to promote patient safety and effective communication in the perioperative environment.

Method: Thirty-five nurses participated in this e-Delphi study, which was conducted online via SurveyMonkey®. Each survey presented participants with a list of potential items for inclusion in a pre-operative checklist. Participants were asked to identify items they felt should be included in the checklist with the option to include comments. Comments were de-identified and shared with other participants to allow confidential interaction. The surveys were analysed for consensus, determined as agreement between at least 70% of participants.

Results: Three survey rounds were completed. Forty-six items achieved consensus for inclusion in a pre-operative checklist.

Conclusion: The 46 items which achieved consensus were condensed to a list of 25 items categorised as: 1. Patient and procedure verification; 2. Preparation; and 3. Assessments. The findings of this study provide an evidence base for development of pre-operative checklists, to promote patient safety and effective communication in the perioperative environment.

Keywords

Perioperative, nursing, patient safety, communication, checklist.

Introduction

Patient safety is of particular significance during surgical interventions as patients are especially vulnerable during this time. Surgical procedures are carried out in a complex, uncertain and dynamic environment with high stakes, populated by professionals from multiple disciplines, often with competing priorities¹. The aim of this study was to determine which items should be included in a pre-operative checklist, in order to promote patient safety, effective teamwork and better communication.

Background

In health care worldwide, patient safety is of significant concern². Adverse events in the perioperative environment are commonly caused by non-technical skill failures such as teamwork and communication³.

In recent years, many hospitals have started using comprehensive checklist procedures⁴, which have demonstrated improvements in teamwork and patient safety in the perioperative environment⁵. The World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist⁶ is now well entrenched in most operating

theatres. A great deal of literature has been published that discusses the benefits and improvements in patient safety since the checklist was implemented^{3,7-9}. There is, however, a very limited evidence base to determine what safety checks should be performed *prior* to the patient entering the operating room. A literature search yielded little evidence to inform what items should be included in a pre-operative checklist¹⁰⁻¹³. The aim of this study was to determine which items should be included in a pre-operative checklist, in order to promote patient safety, effective teamwork and better communication.

Method

Design

The e-Delphi method was chosen for this study. The Delphi method is a multi-iteration survey technique using expert opinion to achieve consensus on a specific issue¹⁴. The preceding 'e' indicates that the study was conducted online¹⁵.

Recruitment

An email was sent to all ACORN members, on behalf of the researchers, inviting eligible nurses to participate. Many recipients of this email also shared the information with colleagues, therefore having a snowball effect.

In order for participants to be considered experts, they each had a minimum of five years' experience in

perioperative nursing and were self-assessed as having insight into the purpose and content of pre-operative checklists.

Procedure

The study was conducted online via SurveyMonkey®¹⁶. Participants remained anonymous to each other. The initial questionnaire consisted of potential checklist items. Potential checklist items were gathered from database and internet searches as well as example items submitted by participants. Participants selected either *include* or *exclude* for each item. Participants were encouraged to include reasons for their choice. Each subsequent survey round comprised checklist items which had not yet achieved consensus. Participants received feedback with each new survey, showing levels

of consensus achieved so far and de-identified comments from other participants.

Checklist items were presented to participants, organised into five categories. The five categories were determined by the researchers:

1. Identification/procedural
2. Patient preparation
3. Diagnostics/pathology
4. Assessment
5. Present condition and patient history

Once an item achieved consensus for inclusion or exclusion, it was removed from future questionnaires.

Rates of participant consensus

	Round 1 (n=35)		Round 2 (n=34)		Round 3 (n=33)	
	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)
Is the patient able to participate verbally in the verification/checklist process independently?	74	26	-	-	-	-
Confirm consent form is valid	97	3	-	-	-	-
Specify any foreseeable communication issues	89	11	-	-	-	-
Is an interpreter required?	63	37	56	44	36	64
Patient states full name and date of birth. Confirmed against all documentation	94	6	-	-	-	-
Patient confirms procedure to be performed including site and side	89	11	-	-	-	-
Procedure including site and side (where applicable) stated by patient	89	11	-	-	-	-
Patient confirms proceduralist/surgeon	51	49	26	74	-	-
Has patient consented to blood transfusion, if required?	75	25	-	-	-	-
Specify all known allergies and reaction(s)	100	0	-	-	-	-
Patient ID bands including allergy/alert bands applied	94	6	-	-	-	-
Sufficient number of patient identification labels available	63	37	44	56	45	55
Specify anyone present at handover (e.g carer)	51	49	65	35	67	33
Contact details confirmed of person to notify on completion of surgery or in emergency	54	46	53	47	42	58
Does the patient require a medical certificate?	37	63	29	71	-	-
Confirm that handover was taken from ward/admitting nurse	80	20	-	-	-	-
Post-operative destination?	34	66	15	85	-	-
Signatures of at least two nurses who have/are completing this checklist	97	3	-	-	-	-
Person completing checklist to note any concerns in regards to this patient	91	9	-	-	-	-

Table 1.1: Identification/procedural items

Rates of participant consensus

	Round 1 (n=35)		Round 2 (n=34)		Round 3 (n=33)	
	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)
Person completing checklist to ask patient if they have any questions or concerns	69	31	71	29	-	-
Has the patient attended the pre-admission clinic?	40	60	24	76	-	-
Pre-medication charted	83	17	-	-	-	-
Pre-medication administered	86	14	-	-	-	-
Surgical site/side marked?	80	20	-	-	-	-
Surgical site preparation attended?	71	29	-	-	-	-
Procedure including site and side (where applicable) stated by patient	89	11	-	-	-	-
Is the patient currently taking blood thinning medications?	77	23	-	-	-	-
Has patient ceased any regular medication(s)? Include details	71	29	-	-	-	-
Is the patient currently receiving/ceased in last week cytotoxic medications?	66	34	65	35	76	24
DVT/VTE prophylaxis administered/in situ	77	23	-	-	-	-
Jewellery removed/taped	97	3	-	-	-	-
Hair pins or other accessories removed	69	31	74	26	-	-
Make-up removed	57	43	38	62	39	61
Nail polish removed	63	37	53	47	55	45
Patient in theatre attire	54	46	56	44	64	36
Specify date and time patient last passed urine or specify if catheterised	80	20	-	-	-	-
Date and time of last oral intake – fluids	100	0	-	-	-	-
Date and time of last oral intake – solids	97	3	-	-	-	-
Confirm list of all current medications including prescription, over the counter, etc.	74	26	-	-	-	-

Table 1.2: Patient preparation items

Rates of participant consensus

	Round 1 (n=35)		Round 2 (n=34)		Round 3 (n=33)	
	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)
Known infection risk (specify type of infection and level of precautions required)	77	23	-	-	-	-
Are all required pathology results available?	66	34	53	47	64	36
Valid group and hold/screen?	77	23	-	-	-	-
Full blood count attended?	40	60	18	82	-	-
Are any blood products required to be ordered/commenced/available pre-operatively?	51	49	32	68	36	64
Urinalysis attended?	34	66	35	65	21	79
INR	71	29	-	-	-	-
Blood glucose level	83	17	-	-	-	-
Beta hCG	60	40	56	44	58	42
Will the patient be donating bone or cord blood?	46	54	26	74	-	-
Medical imaging – films/discs accompanying patient to theatre	97	3	-	-	-	-

Table 1.3: Diagnostic/pathology items

Rates of participant consensus

	Round 1 (n=35)		Round 2 (n=34)		Round 3 (n=33)	
	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)	Include (%)	Exclude (%)
Falls risk assessment completed	51	49	44	56	36	64
Pressure area risk assessment completed	66	34	71	29	-	-
DVT/VTE risk assessment completed	66	34	44	56	58	42
Risk/history of obstructive sleep apnoea	57	43	29	71	-	-
Skin integrity assessed	77	23	-	-	-	-
Does the patient have any limitations with mobility?	60	40	65	35	73	27
Airway assessment – anatomical issues/observations	40	60	12	88	-	-
Airway assessment – mallampatti score	29	71	-	-	-	-
Airway assessment – mandibular thyroid distance	29	71	-	-	-	-
Does patient have a history/family history of malignant hyperthermia?	63	37	56	44	76	24
Dentition	100	0	-	-	-	-
Vital signs attended	91	9	-	-	-	-
Is pre-operative warming required?	51	49	26	74	-	-
Foetal heart rate?	49	51	27	73	-	-
Height and weight	80	20	-	-	-	-
BMI	54	46	41	59	24	76
Is a HoverMatt required?	51	49	38	62	36	64
ECG attended	80	20	-	-	-	-
Date and time of last oral intake – solids	97	3	-	-	-	-
Confirm list of all current medications including prescription, over the counter, etc.	74	26	-	-	-	-

Table 1.4: Assessment items

Rates of participant consensus

	Round 1 (n=35)		Round 2 (n=34)		Round 3 (n=33)	
	In- clude (%)	Ex- clude (%)	In- clude (%)	Ex- clude (%)	In- clude (%)	Ex- clude (%)
Surgical history including any complications/adverse reactions/outcomes	57	43	52	48	45	55
Medical history including conditions	69	31	58	42	52	48
Diabetes status	77	23	-	-	-	-
Existing implanted/prosthetic devices/generators	97	3	-	-	-	-
Specify any significant scars	26	74	-	-	-	-
Previous records accompanying patient to theatre	80	20	-	-	-	-
List all items accompanying patient to theatre	71	29	-	-	-	-
List all items/aids removed and their current location	69	31	91	9	-	-
Does the patient have baggage with them?	46	54	30	70	-	-
Have all necessary notifications been made to the operating theatre staff?	69	31	76	24	-	-
Dentition	100	0	-	-	-	-
Vital signs attended	91	9	-	-	-	-
Is pre-operative warming required?	51	49	26	74	-	-
Foetal heart rate?	49	51	27	73	-	-
Height and weight	80	20	-	-	-	-
BMI	54	46	41	59	24	76
Confirm list of all current medications incl. prescription, over the counter, etc.	74	26	-	-	-	-

Table 1.5: Assessment items

Data analysis: Survey responses were analysed for consensus which, in this study, was considered to be agreement between at least 70% of participants^{14,17}. Once consensus was reached either for inclusion or exclusion, each item was removed from future surveys. The process was then repeated until three survey rounds were complete.

Ethics: Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Tasmania Social Sciences Human Research Ethics Committee (Ethics ref: H0014042).

Characteristics	n (%)	Duration of nursing service (years)			
Gender		Did not state	2 (6)	Public hospital	20 (57)
Female	35 (100)	5–14	8 (23)	Private and public hospitals	1 (3)
Age (years)		15–>20	25 (71)	Primary perioperative nursing role	
<30–39	10 (29)	Duration of perioperative nurse service (years)		Did not state	1 (3)
40–>60	25 (71)	5–14	12 (34)	Direct patient care	14 (40)
Designation		15–>20	23 (66)	Education	9 (26)
EN/RN	7 (20)	Employment status		Management and clinical	9 (26)
CNS/NE/CNE/CNC/NP	18 (51)	Agency/Contract	2 (6)	Consultant	2 (6)
A/NUM/Manager/Director	10 (29)	Permanent full-time	26 (74)	Highest nursing qualification	
Specialty		Permanent part-time	7 (20)	Bachelor degree	8 (23)
Anaesthetics/Recovery	10 (29)	Type of facility		Certificate (hospital trained)	3 (9)
Instrument/Circulating	11 (31)	Private hospital	14 (40)	Diploma/Advanced Diploma	2 (6)
A combination of areas	14 (40)			Grad Cert/Dip/Masters	22 (62)

Table 2: Characteristics of participants

Demographic

Participant demographic data is expressed in Table 2. All participants were female ($n=35$). Forty-nine per cent ($n=17$) of participants had more than 20 years' experience in perioperative nursing. The majority of participants ($n=26$, 74%) were employed on a full-time basis. Sixty-eight per cent ($n=24$) of participants were graduate certificate, diploma or masters degree qualified.

Results

Patient and Procedure Verification	Patient communication issues identified
	Patient and staff verify procedure (including site and side)
	Patient and staff verify identification (name and date of birth)
	Consent for procedure and blood products verified
	Patient ID and alert bands verified and applied
	Handover of care documented
Preparation	Staff and patient concerns documented and communicated to team
	Pre-medication/medications verified
	High-risk medications identified (e.g. cytotoxic, anticoagulants)
	Surgical site prepared (e.g. marked, pre-op shower, clipped, bowel prep)
	VTE prophylaxis verified
	Accessories and aids removed/secured
	Fluid, fasting and hydration status documented
	Existing implanted/prosthetic devices documented
Assessments	Infection status and precautions verified
	Appropriate pathology attended (e.g. group and hold/screen, INR, glucose)
	Medical imaging and other accompanying items verified
	Pressure injury risk and skin integrity documented
	Mobilisation and manual handling requirements documented
	Malignant hyperthermia history documented
	Dentition documented
	Baseline vital signs attended
	Height and weight documented
	ECG attended
	Diabetes status documented

Table 3: Pre-operative checklist

A total of 36 nurses consented to participate in this study. All volunteers were included in the study. Questionnaire one had a 97% response rate ($n=35/36$). The second and third questionnaires were only sent to those participants who completed the first questionnaire. Questionnaire two had a 97% response rate ($n=34/35$). Questionnaire three was completed by 94% of participants ($n=33/35$).

The participants determined that 46 of the 77 items should be included in a pre-operative checklist. Following data analysis, these 46 items were condensed to a list of 25 checklist items, presented in Table 2. These items were categorised as: 1. *Patient/procedure verification*; 2. *Preparation*; and 3. *Assessment*.

Discussion

The final list of 25 checklist items is intended for use as a guide when developing a pre-operative checklist. Some items may not be relevant to all facilities, procedures or patients and should be omitted or altered as appropriate. It is important to reduce repetition in pre-operative checklists and individualise patient assessment¹³.

Patient and procedure verification items relate to health service policy directives, Australian College of Operating Room Nurses (ACORN) Standards¹⁰ and Australian National Standards¹⁸. The importance of patient identification and informed consent is also highlighted by Zastrow¹³. Incorrect patient and procedure identification can lead to wrong patient or procedure and medication, diagnostic testing and transfusion errors, all of which have the potential for dire consequences¹⁹.

Zastrow¹³ states that the pre-operative phase of the perioperative journey involves assessment of the patient's sensory impairments or language barriers as well as noting any friends, family or significant

others present during assessment. Participants in this study agreed that any foreseeable communication issues should be noted on the pre-operative checklist but specifying whether an interpreter was required did not achieve consensus after three survey rounds. A number of participants stated that this item had no place on the pre-operative checklist as it was 'too late' and should be arranged earlier. Other participants disagreed, many stating 'never too late to ensure patient safety'. Participants were also presented with a checklist item to specify anyone present at handover to the operating theatre environment but consensus was not achieved on this item either. Many participants felt this information was 'not relevant' to a pre-operative checklist.

Items categorised as *Preparation* ensure that the patient and all members of the multidisciplinary team are adequately prepared for the intended procedure. Items in this category are supported by Zastrow¹³ and are represented within ACORN Competency Standards¹⁰.

Participants suggesting inclusion of pre-medication and regular medication checklist items were concerned about the effect of pre-medications on patients' capacity to consent. Participants wanting to include this item were also concerned about patient safety, which may be compromised by administration of pre-medication if the patient was not adequately monitored. Comments included 'are they able to sign/complete the consent legally?', 'Do they need to be on oxygen and be monitored? Are they having a reaction, what to?' Participants chose to include an item which identified high-risk medications such as cytotoxic agents. Comments in support of this item included 'need to use cytotoxic-specific/appropriate personal protective equipment'. Comments supporting exclusion of

this item included 'not sure how this would affect the theatre process ... anaesthetist should be aware'. ACORN Competency Standards¹⁰ state that the perioperative nurse is responsible for identifying biohazards such as cytotoxic drugs.

All items categorised under *Assessment* are identified in ACORN Competency Standards¹⁰ as the responsibility of the perioperative nurse to address. Assessment items include identification of known infection status, ensuring necessary pathology has been attended, documenting any medical imaging or other items accompanying the patient to theatre, completion of risk assessments, dentition and gathering baseline data such as vital signs, height and weight and ECG.

Participants suggesting infection status be excluded commented 'should be identified well before the operating room', 'patients don't often know correct details ... this would just lead to confusion'. Participants who selected *include* commented that this identifies the need for specific infection control measures.

Pathology may include INR and 'group and hold/screen'. Participants choosing to include these items commented '[if results not available]... we chase up ... may be essential to surgery and progression' and 'as applicable', '[include for] major cases only'. Participants suggesting these items be excluded commented that this should have been addressed prior to completion of pre-operative checklist and 'anaesthetics deal with this'.

ACORN Competency Standards¹⁰ state that the perioperative nurse should conduct an anaesthetic-related assessment of past history including previous problems with surgery and anaesthesia and assessment and action as required regarding potential for perioperative hypothermia. Zastrow¹³ also identifies anaesthetic and surgical history as

a responsibility of the perioperative nurse. Hamlin, Richardson-Tench and Davies¹¹ highlight the importance of perioperative hypothermia risk assessment and pre-warming as required as a perioperative nursing responsibility. Each of these items were presented to participants; however, anaesthesia and surgical history and problems did not achieve consensus for inclusion or exclusion and a separate item relating to perioperative hypothermia was excluded by participants. Participants wanting to include pre-operative warming needs on the checklist believed this item would minimise intra- and post-operative hypothermia and stated that pre-warming would have a positive impact on pain management and recovery time. Other participants who voted to exclude pre-operative warming from the checklist stated that this is the responsibility of the anaesthetist and '*all patients can benefit from pre-operative warming*'. This suggests that they felt pre-warming was important but that it did not belong on the pre-operative checklist. Participants suggested including anaesthetic and surgical history-taking as this presents an opportunity to identify potential problems. Other participants suggested excluding these items as they believed collection of this information should be conducted on admission to hospital and also that the anaesthetist is responsible for collection of this information, not the nurse.

Many participants selected *exclude* for multiple checklist items, commenting '*anaesthetics deal with this*'. Participants had experience in anaesthetics, post-anaesthetic care, instrument and circulating nursing. Opinions and comments emerging from this study suggest nurses require greater understanding of the roles and priorities of nurses in perioperative specialities which differ from their own.

It is recommended that education be provided for perioperative nurses to better understand the roles of other nursing specialities within the perioperative environment. Thorough understanding of the roles and priorities of other nurses will allow nurses admitting patients to the perioperative environment to promote patient safety and effective communication throughout the perioperative journey. Education should also be provided on the content of the pre-operative checklist and the implications of each item on patient safety.

Strengths and limitations

The strength of these findings is the use of expert opinion and the achievement of a high response and participant retention rate. The e-Delphi method is cost-effective and provides access to expert opinion without geographical limitations or the time restrictions placed on face-to-face data collection. Ensuring participants remained anonymous to each other allowed panellists to change their position on a matter based on group feedback, without the need to defend such change, avoiding the undue influence of experts and ensuring no member dominated the expert panel²⁰. Across the three survey rounds, upon sharing panellists' feedback, many participants' positions shifted. These shifts in opinion show that the sharing of anonymous feedback allows participants to interact and change their position across survey rounds. This is further evident in participant comments such as: '*Agree with above notes*' and '*I agree with all of the above comments – these provide a valid rationale*'.

Recruitment via ACORN may initially appear to have been a limitation; however, participants were not required to be members of the College. A number of participants were recruited by ACORN members

who had shared the study poster and information sheet with colleagues.

This work contributes to the limited body of literature informing the content of pre-operative checklists. All items in the final checklist are supported by current ACORN Competency Standards¹⁰, Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care National Health Standards¹⁹ and current literature¹¹⁻¹³

Conclusion

Patients are vulnerable when in perioperative environments. Surgical safety initiatives exist for use during the intraoperative phase to encourage communication and teamwork. The pre-operative period provides the final opportunity to verify and gather new information prior to anaesthetic and surgical interventions; however, very little research has been conducted in this area.

The findings of this study provide an evidence base for development of pre-operative checklists to promote patient safety and effective communication in the perioperative environment. Checklist items are categorised as: 1. *Patient and procedure verification*; 2. *Preparation*; and 3. *Assessments*. Further research and education is required to effectively use pre-operative checklists as a tool to improve patient safety and communication in the perioperative environment.

References

1. Reason J (1995). Safety in the operating theatre — Part 2: human error and organisational failure. *Current Anaesthesia* Vol. 6, pp. 121–126.
2. World Health Organization (2014). 10 facts on patient safety. www.who.int/features/factfiles/patient_safety/en/ Accessed 14 March, 2014.
3. Alnaib M, Al Samaraee A & Bhattacharya V (2012). The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist. *Journal of Perioperative Practice* Vol. 22, No. 9, pp. 289–292.

4. Birkmeyer JD (2010). Strategies for improving surgical quality — checklists and beyond. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 363, No. 20, pp. 1963–1965.
5. O'Connor P, Reddin C, O'Sullivan M, O'Duffy F & Keogh I (2013). Surgical checklists: the human factor. *Patient Saf Surg* Vol. 7, No. 1, p. 14.
6. World Alliance for Patient Safety (2009). Implementation Manual Surgical Safety Checklist. First ed: World Health Organisation. whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241598590_eng.pdf Accessed 8th November 2013.
7. Bell R & Pontin L (2010). How implementing the surgical safety checklist improved staff teamwork in theatre. *Nursing Times*, Vol. 106, No. 12.
8. Jones S (2011). Your life in WHO's hands: the World Health Organisation surgical safety checklist. *Journal of Perioperative Practice*, Vol. 21, No. 8, pp. 271–274.
9. Fudickar A, Horle K, Wiltfang J & Bein B (2012). The effect of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist on complication rate and communication. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*, Vol. 109, No. 42, pp. 695–701.
10. The Australian College of Operating Room Nurses Ltd (ACORN).(2014). ACORN Standards for Perioperative Nursing. Adelaide, South Australia: ACORN.
11. Hamlin L, Richardson-Tench M & Davies M (2009). Perioperative nursing: an introductory text. Sydney: Elsevier Australia.
12. Sweitzer B (2008). Preoperative assessment and management. 2nd edn. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer.
13. Zastrow S (2009). Perioperative nursing assessments made simple. *OR Nurse*, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 16–17.
14. Keeney S, Hasson F & McKenna H (2011). The Delphi Technique in Nursing and Health Research. West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell.
15. Gill F, Leslie G, Grech C & Latour J (2013). Using a web-based survey tool to undertake a Delphi study: application for nurse education research. *Nurse Education Today*, Vol. 33, No. 11, pp. 1322–1328.
16. SurveyMonkey (2014). SurveyMonkey. Accessed 28 March, 2014.
17. Vernon W (2009). The Delphi Technique: A Review. *International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation* Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 69–76.
18. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) (2014). Patient identification. National Standards and Accreditation www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/patient-identification/ Accessed 29th August 2014.
19. Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) (2012). Specifications for a standard patient identification band. www.safetyandquality.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/FactSheet-PatID-Band.pdf Accessed 4th September 2014.
20. de Meyrick J (2003). The Delphi method and health research. *Health Education*, Vol. 103, No. 1, pp. 7–16.